

(Revised 1/24/86)

NONCOAL REVIEW ASSIGNMENT SHEET

#8946R

Operator: Castle Valley Mining Company

Mine Name: CASTLE VALLEY

Date Plan Received: 12/27/85

File No.: AR0/015/033

Target Date for Review: 1/31/86

Commodity: Gypsum

Review Team:

Lead: HEDBERG

Geology: SUCHOSKI

Engineering: G-LITIG

Biology: MUTZ

Soils: LEATHERWOOD

Hydrology: WHAM

Please formulate deficiency comments in paragraph form by regulation. Be specific in what is not in compliance and state what is needed to comply. If a section is in compliance, please state it as such. Date and initial all comments.

Rule M-3	Discipline	Rule M-10	Discipline
(1)(a)	(Eng)	(1)	(Bio)
(1)(b)	(Eng)	(2)(a)	(Eng)
(1)(c)	(Eng)	(2)(b)	(Eng)
(1)(d)	(Hyd/Eng)	(2)(c)	(Geo/Eng)
(1)(e)	(Hyd)	(2)(d)	(Eng)
(1)(f)	(Geo/Soil)	(2)(e)	(Eng)
(1)(g)	(Soil/Eng/Hyd)	(3)	(Hyd)
(1)(h)	(Hyd)	(4)	(Eng/Bio/Hyd)
(2)(a)	(Bio)	(5)	(Eng)
(2)(b)	(Bio)	(6)	(Soil/Eng/Hyd)
(2)(c)	(Soil/Eng)	(7)	(Eng)
(2)(d)	(Soil/Eng)	(8)	(Hyd)
(2)(e)	(Bio)	(9)	(Eng)
(2)(f)	(ALL)hyd	(10)	(Eng/Geo)
(3)	(Eng)	(11)	(Hyd)
		(12)	(Bio)
		(13)	(Hyd/Eng)
		(14)	(Soil)

Rule M-5

(Eng/Bio)

Rule M-6

(ALL)hyd

Other Regulations:

TITLE
40-8-13(1) (eng)
()
()
()
()
()
()

Bond Amount: _____

Bond Form: _____

Date Posted: _____

Publication Date: _____

Other Agency Comments: _____

Contact and Date: _____

Bureau of Land Management

U. S. Forest Service:

Department of Health:

Division of Water Rights:

Dam Safety

County

Division Wildlife Resources

SHFO

APPROVAL DATE: _____

Permit Term: _____

১৩৩৩৩৩
 ১৩৩৩৩৩
 ১৩৩৩৩৩
 ১৩৩৩৩৩
 ১৩৩৩৩৩
 ১৩৩৩৩৩

819 (1) 21-8-04

MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM
(Revised: 9/25/85)

Type of Proposal: COAL ☒ NONCOAL

Exploration
NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # _____, Abatement Deadline _____
MRP Revision
MRP Amendment
☒ MR-1 Application (non-coal)

Issuing Inspector _____

Title of Proposal: New Permit Application

Company name: CASTLE VALLEY MINING COMPANY Project/
Mine Name: CASTLE VALLEY

File # (PRO/ACT): 015/033 # New Acres (Fed/State/Fee): 1/1/1
(CEP/EXP/INA) Disturbed

Assigned Reviewers:

Review Time (hrs):

OTHER AGENCIES:
(# of copies & date)

(Hydrology) WHAM
(Wildlife/Veg.) MUTZ
(Engineering) G-LITTIG
(Soils) HEATHERWOOD
(Geology) SUCHOSKI

✓ 1/31
✓ 1/27
✓ 1/20
✓ 2/3
✓ 2/4

OSM _____
USFS _____
BLM _____
Health _____
History _____
H2O Rts _____
Wildlife _____
USFWS _____

DATES: (a) Initial Plan Received 12/27/85
Tech Review Due 1/31/86
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____

(b) Operator Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____

(e) Optr. Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____

(c) Operator Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____

(f) Optr. Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
DOGM Response Sent _____

(d) Operator Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____

(g) Cond'l. Approval _____
Stipulations Due _____
Stips Received _____
Final Approval _____

(h) MR-9 Received _____
MR-9 Acknowledged _____

COMMENTS: _____

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please attach a copy of the NOV issued to the abatement plan when received from the operator.

NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please prepare review comments in a format referencing the appropriate regulation or statute.